

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,793	03/25/2004	David R. Hall	66.0051	2792
38046 7	590 03/21/2006		EXAMINER	
JEFFREY E. DALY			NGUYEN, TUYEN T	
INTELLISERV, INC 400 N. SAM HOUSTON PARKWAY EAST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 900			2832	
HOUSTON, TX 77060			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/708,793	HALL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		TUYEN T. NGUYEN	2832			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ja	anuary 2006.	•			
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the Idea of the I	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. <u>§</u> 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen		A) Thionian Summer	(DTO 412)			
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) comparison Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ce No(s)/Mail Date 3/25/04. (5/06/04)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/708,793

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-11 in the reply filed on 1/5/2006 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 2-3, applicant should clarify the magnetic structure of the element in order to provide initial relative magnetic permeability.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fredrickson [US 2,947,960].

Fredrickson discloses an element [figures 4-5] comprising:

- a plurality of ductile electrically conductive U-shape elements [19]; and
- a plurality insulating layer material disposed between the elements.

wherein the electrically conductive elements and the insulating layers forming a troughlike assembly.

Fredrickson discloses the instant claimed invention except for the specific thickness of the conductive element.

The specific thickness of the conductive element would have been an obvious design consideration for the purpose of providing intended inductance.

Regarding claims 2-3, the specific magnetic permeability would have been an obvious design consideration based on the intended applications/environments.

Regarding claims 6-9, Fredrickson discloses the conductive elements formed of conductive material. Copper is a well know conductive material. The specific material would have been an obvious design consideration for the purpose of providing electrical conducting and magnetic permeability.

Regarding claims 10-11, Fredrickson discloses the insulating material formed of mica, vanish, plastic, ect. The specific thickness and material of the insulating layer would have been an obvious design consideration for the purpose of providing insulation.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUYEN T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,793

Art Unit: 2832

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTN 11N

Tugen Nguyen